

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/831,551		08/06/2001	Sam Fong Yau Li	1781-0225P	6106
2292	7590	04/30/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH S'	TEWAR'	T KOLASCH & BIR	GAKH, YELENA G		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
The second of th				1743	
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.35(e), in no event, however, may a rapty be timely filled between the procession of 3° CFR 1.35(e), in no event, however, may a rapty be timely filled. If the period for reply specified above is lives blanchinty (30) days, a reply whilm the sublation minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum studency period will apply and will regine 180 (4) MOVINTS to the mailing date of this communication. Falset to reply whilm the set or oderated proced for reply well, by studency park and the grade (50) (4) MOVINTS to the mailing date of this communication, even if through flexit, may veduce any setting application is provided to the communication, even if through flexit, may veduce any eatened patent term adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 29 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.26 is/are rejected to extension and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant nay not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to .See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Bearing of online may be available used the possible used of the possible used the possible used to be possible used to period used to reply is specified above is less than their (30) days and the goar (30 (MSVTR) show the mailing date of this communication of reply is specified above, the maximum datatory period will apply and will appea (30) (4) MSVTR both the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any sealing abent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.764(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 29 March 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) ½2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) ½1 is/are allowed. 6 □ Claim(s) ½1 is/are allowed. 6 □ Claim(s) ½1 is/are allowed. 7 □ Claim(s) ½1 is/are allowed. Application Papers 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filled on 96 August 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S. C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All bo □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International B		09/831,551	LI ET AL.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Deriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exencision of time rings be evaluate used the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply to timely filed after 5X (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 3 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply to timely filed after 5X (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 5X (7) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the property within the set of extended pution for reply within the set of extended products from the mailing date of this communication. Fallowered to the provision of the provision of the mailing date of this communication, even if brindly filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.16 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.16 is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.16 is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) 1.16 is/are allowed. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 912 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ certified copies of the priority documents have be	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36(a), in no event, however, may a rapity be timely filled offset Six (b) MONTHS from the missing called offset communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory proided will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the missing date of this communication. Failure is reply whithin the set or control period for reply will, by sharking earth the application become ABANDADOD (30 U.S. €) 130. Any reply received by the Office lizer than those months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamle placet time digitalizers. Status 1) □ Responsive to communication (5) filled on 29 March 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.36 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 96 August 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) Replacement drawing sheek(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to .See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority		Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time image be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Filtre period to reply specified bown is larce from thirty (30) days, a reply within the subtatory minimum of thirty (30) days. Telepy within the subtatory minimum of thirty (30) days. Telepy within the subtatory minimum of thirty (30) days. Telepy within the subtatory minimum of thirty (30) days. Telepy within the subtatory minimum of thirty (30) days. Telepy within the subtatory minimum of thirty (30) days. Telepy within the subtatory minimum of thirty (30) days with second considered of thirty (30) days. The subtatory is the subtatory minimum of thirty (30) days with second considered of thirty (30) days. The subtatory (30) days with second date of thirty (30) days with second date of this communication. Filtre period of the subtatory (30) days are subtatory minimum of the provision of the days of the subtatory minimum of the subtatory with subtatory to the subtatory of the subtatory minimum o	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7)☑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to see 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☑ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: 1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a ref if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions from the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeply within the statutory minimum of thirty (bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1.16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1.12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4 and 12 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTC-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) by objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	March 2004.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	,
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on Of August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note) Mail Date. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note) Mail Date.	3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,4 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	on.	
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Teritsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			
5) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,4 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1,4 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7)⊠ Claim(s) 1,4 and 12 is/are objected to.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Aftachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2001</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawi <mark>ng</mark> (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	plication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Attachment(s)	·	
- House of Branchester and Branch (1 1 4 4 1 4)			
3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of PTO/SB/08)	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

1. Election of claims 1-16 with traverse, filed on 03/29/04 is acknowledged.

In response to the Applicant's Remarks regarding restriction requirements the examiner would like to notice that if the arguments regarding restriction requirements based on the lack of unity of the inventions are proper, it does not matter if such restriction requirements were not imposed by the International Searching Authority. The basis for the restriction requirement for the instant application is the absence of a special technical feature between two inventions. No arguments regarding novelty of the device recited in claim 1 over the prior art of Lenz et al. (US 4,747,700) were presented by the Applicant. While Lenz may be not the closest prior art, Stockbarger method and apparatus for crystallization having separately heated, individually controlled upper and lower zones, separated by an insulating diaphragm and utilizing metal wire for crystallization are well known in the art, see e.g. Swinehart (US 4,055,457). Using a plurality of the same devices for plurality of samples is obvious for anyone of ordinary skill in the art. Moreover, the device recited in claim 1 can be used for different purpose than crystallization, e.g. for conducting catalytic temperature-controllable reactions, and therefore can be searched in a different class, than the method claims. It necessary puts a serious burden for the examiner to search and examine different inventions. Therefore, the restriction is proper and is made FINAL.

Regarding the remarks on IDS and drawings: consideration of prior art provided in an IDS and examination of drawings is that part of the examination process of the application, which does not start before the election of the restrictable invention is made. Also, the examiner would like to notice that she is she, rather than he, which is supposedly clear from the name.

Specification

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1743

The specification is objected to as containing the subject matter, which is not written "in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art" to practice the invention in its best mode. The specification discloses "a first" and "a second temperature control means" as any means capable of controlling the temperature of the samples, including water bath and slush bath, which also are the only temperature control means represented in the Examples. It is not clear from the specification, however, if special containers with temperature regulating tools are provided to hold water bath and slush bath. If they are not provided, it is not clear, how the temperature of these baths is controlled and regulated, since the water bath and slush bath on their own are not capable of maintaining certain temperature for a prolonged period of time, and cannot be considered conventional "temperature control means". Moreover, it is not clear from the drawing, how the slush bath can comprise a plurality of conducting means (e.g. copper wires) the way it is represented in the drawing. In fact, no temperature control means with a plurality of conducting means the way they are depicted on Figure 1, is disclosed in the specification, and therefore it is not clear, what such temperature control means might be. Since the second temperature control means the way they are represented on Figure 1 as thermostat 12 is supposed to be inventive, a detail description of such temperature control means is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, 4 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims recite "container means for containing" a plurality of samples. The expression should be rewritten as "containers" or "means for containing" to avoid tautological language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1743

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "a first" and "a second temperature control means", which are represented on Figure 1 as thermostats 11 and 12. As it is indicated above, the specification does not disclose, how water bath and slush bath can be temperature control means represented on Figure 1, especially with the second temperature control means comprising a plurality of conducting wires. The water bath and slush bath cannot be considered conventional temperature control means, since they do not maintain any desirable temperature; however, they are the only examples disclosed in the specification. Since it is not quite clear from the specification, what "temperature control means" might be, with water and slush baths not being conventional temperature control means, the claims are rendered unclear and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 1743

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swinehart (US 4,055,457).

Swinehart discloses a method and apparatus for both Stockbarger and Kyropoulos crystal growth. The following disclosure of one of the embodiments corresponds to the recited apparatus of claims 1-16 except for the plurality of means: in Stockbarger furnace "the salt is loaded in a quartz crucible and several loadings may be required because of shrinkage of the salt as it melts. ... A conduit is also inserted through the cover and the tip of the conduit is placed above the surface of the melt so as to permit vapors of bromine contained in a reservoir outside the furnace, to contact the melt as it is grown into an ingot. The proper temperature gradient is established between the upper and lower zones of the furnace using recording thermocouples above and below the separating diaphragm or baffle. When conditions for crystal formation are obtained, the crucible is placed at such a level that the tip of the cone is coplanar with the diaphragm. A metal thin finger extending up from a gear rack shelf of an elevator mechanism makes contact with the tip of the crucible cone so that, with the rest of the metal crucible support being insulated from the crucible itself by a layer of alundum, heat is drawn away from the very tip of the crucible first, thus starting the crystallization at that point. After the crystal is started, the crucible is lowered at a predetermined rate to provide optimum temperatures and a desirable temperature gradient. After the crystal ingot is completely grown, it is separated from the crucible and allowed to cool gradually" (col. 5, lines 12-40).

While Swinehart does not specifically disclose that insulating diaphragm is made of plastic foam, this material is conventionally used for insulation.

While Swinehart does not specifically disclose the size of wires, "the size of an article is not a matter of invention" (*In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955)).

Art Unit: 1743

While Swinehart does not disclose a plurality of such apparatus, "mere duplication of parts without any new and unexpected results is within the skill in the routineer in the art" (*In re Harza*, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Garrett (US 3,918,916) discloses "plural chamber serial flow forced air cooled crystallizer"; Gotoh et al (US 4,772,487) teach "method and apparatus of forming solid phase reagent in micromodule"; Sakabe et al. (US 5,056,427) disclose "sealing of cavity on reagent tray"; Atwood et al. (US 5,475,610) disclose "thermal cycler for automatic performance of the polymerase chain reaction with close temperature control"; Kasma (US 5,459,300) disclose "microplate heater for providing uniform heating regardless of the geometry of the microplates"; Mohan et al. (US 5,888,830) disclose "apparatus and process for multiple chemical reactions"; Dahk et al. (US 6,342,185 B1) disclose "combinatorial catalytic reactor"; Freitag et al. (US 6,485,692 B1) discloses "continuos feed parallel reactor".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1257. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Helere Hale

Page 7

Yelena G. Gakh 4/27/04